



Substance Use Among Physicians: Occupational Risk, Recognition, and Recovery

Authors

Mirjana Delic, MD, PhD

Received: 23 April 2026

Accepted: 28 April 2026

Published: 08 May 2026

¹ Department of Rheumatology, University Hospitals Coventry & Warwickshire

Corresponding author: Mirjana Delic, MD, PhD

Abstract

Background: Substance use disorders (SUDs) among physicians represent a complex occupational and mental health challenge. Despite high levels of medical knowledge, physicians are not protected from developing addiction. Overall prevalence is broadly comparable to the general population, yet the substances involved, routes of access, professional consequences, and barriers to help-seeking differ substantially.

Methods: A narrative review of the literature was conducted using the PubMed database, supplemented by reference list screening. Search terms included combinations of "physician," "substance use," "addiction," and "healthcare professionals." Eligible sources included epidemiological studies, systematic and narrative reviews, cohort studies, policy reports, and professional guidelines. Clinical experience in addiction psychiatry was used to contextualise findings.

Results: Physicians face profession-specific risk factors including long hours, emotional overload, and direct access to psychoactive substances. Alcohol is the most frequently reported substance, with prescription medication misuse also prevalent. Psychiatric comorbidity, stigma, and fear of professional consequences are key barriers to help-seeking. Structured physician health programmes report recovery rates of up to 90%, with five-year cohort data showing favourable outcomes in approximately three-quarters of treated physicians.

Conclusions: Physician substance use should be addressed as a systemic, ethical, and clinical problem rather than individual misconduct alone. Confidential treatment pathways, long-term monitoring, and structured return-to-work arrangements improve outcomes. Prevention, early identification, and specialist support are essential for protecting both physician wellbeing and patient safety.

Keywords: substance use disorder, physician health, addiction, occupational risk, impaired physician, physician health programmes, burnout, patient safety

1. INTRODUCTION



Substance use among physicians is a sensitive and often hidden issue that sits at the intersection of occupational medicine, psychiatry, ethics, and patient safety. Although physicians are highly educated about the medical consequences of alcohol and drug use, they are not protected from developing substance use disorders. Available evidence suggests that the overall prevalence of addiction among physicians is broadly similar to that of the general population, while the specific substances involved, the routes of access, and the professional consequences may differ substantially (1,2). The topic is especially important because impairment in a physician can affect not only personal health and family life, but also decision-making, reliability, teamwork, and quality of patient care.

The profession itself contains multiple conditions that may increase vulnerability to substance use. Physicians work in environments characterized by time pressure, emotional overload, frequent exposure to suffering and death, night work, sleep deprivation, and chronic responsibility for high-stakes decisions. A UK study on occupational distress among doctors found that occupational distress and job factors were associated with greater odds of substance use and other adverse outcomes, while reviews on physicians with substance use disorders likewise describe chronic stress and work strain as major contributors (3,4). These stressors do not act in isolation; rather, they interact with perfectionism, fear of failure, and the cultural expectation that physicians should remain functional regardless of personal distress.

Another reason the issue remains underrecognized is that physicians may conceal difficulties for long periods. In a review of barriers to help-seeking, reported that impaired physicians with substance use disorders often face denial, fear of stigma, fear of social and professional consequences, psychiatric comorbidity, and lack of knowledge about how to seek help (5). Detection of the problem is often delayed because work performance may be the last domain to deteriorate visibly, even when problems are already affecting other aspects of life (2). This delayed recognition increases the risk that problems become more severe before treatment begins.

2.METHODS

A narrative review of the literature was conducted in accordance with the approach outlined in the abstract, integrating published evidence with clinical experience in addiction psychiatry. The literature search was performed using the PubMed database, with additional screening of reference lists from relevant articles to identify further pertinent sources.

Search terms included combinations of keywords such as “*physician*”, “*substance use*”, “*addiction*”, “*healthcare professionals*,” “*treatment*”. The search focused primarily on articles published in English, without strict temporal limits, although emphasis was placed on more recent publications and key foundational papers.

Eligible sources included epidemiological studies, systematic and narrative reviews, cohort studies, policy reports, and professional guidelines addressing substance use among physicians. Particular



attention was given to literature examining occupational risk factors, patterns of substance use, barriers to treatment, and outcomes of physician health programs. In addition, ethical analyses relevant to the identification and management of impaired physicians were included.

Clinical experience in the field of addiction psychiatry was used to contextualize and interpret findings, particularly in areas where empirical data remain limited or where practical implementation challenges are significant.

Given the narrative (non-systematic) design of the review, no formal quality assessment or meta-analytic synthesis was performed. The aim was to provide a clinically meaningful and integrative overview of the topic rather than a quantitative synthesis of evidence.

3.RESULTS

Occupational Risk Factors. The literature consistently identifies a cluster of occupational risk factors including long working hours, heavy workload, psychological strain, frequent exposure to emotionally demanding situations, and easy access to psychoactive substances. In some specialties, especially anesthesiology and emergency medicine, direct access to potent drugs may create an additional occupational hazard. Anesthesiologists and emergency physicians have been described as being at particularly high risk, partly because of high-pressure work conditions and proximity to addictive pharmaceuticals.

Patterns of Substance Use. Alcohol remains the most commonly discussed substance in physician studies. A systematic review concluded that problematic alcohol use is a serious concern, though prevalence estimates vary across studies. Earlier US survey work found substantial rates of alcohol abuse or dependence among physicians, including notable sex differences in reported prevalence. Prescription medication misuse may be especially relevant in physicians because of self-diagnosis, self-medication, and familiarity with pharmacology. Physician substance use cannot be understood simply as a mirror of general-population substance use; it is shaped by access, profession-specific stressors, and the medical culture in which it develops.

Psychiatric Comorbidity and Concealment. Psychiatric comorbidities — including depression, anxiety, burnout, and sleep disturbance — contribute both to the development of substance use and to its concealment. Substance use may serve as maladaptive self-medication for emotional exhaustion, insomnia, or distress. Warning signs include lateness, absenteeism, increased secrecy, decreased quality of care, charting problems, conflicts with colleagues, irritability, and, outside work, withdrawal from family, legal problems, financial difficulties, and mood instability. These signs highlight that impairment is often behavioural and relational before it becomes formally diagnosed.

Barriers to Help-Seeking. The decision to seek help is strongly influenced by stigma and fear. Physicians may worry about confidentiality, licensing consequences, professional reputation, employability, and colleagues' attitudes. Fear of social, familial, professional, and economic consequences is a recurrent theme in the literature. The result is a pattern of concealment,



delayed treatment, and in some cases continued work while impaired — especially concerning in a profession where impairment may directly endanger patients.

Ethical Dimensions. The ethical dimension is unavoidable. When a colleague suspects a substance use disorder that impairs functioning, there is an ethical duty to act. This approach attempts to balance two legitimate goals: protecting patients and facilitating treatment for the physician. A purely disciplinary model may increase fear and push problems further underground; a purely permissive model risks patient harm. Effective institutional responses must therefore be both protective and therapeutic.

Physician Health Programs and Outcomes. Structured physician health programs in the USA were created to facilitate early identification, evaluation, treatment, and monitoring of physicians with substance use disorders. Physicians who complete such programs have more favorable outcomes than members of the general population receiving mainstream treatment. These programs consist of comprehensive assessment, inpatient or outpatient treatment depending on severity, rehabilitation, ongoing therapy and relapse prevention, toxicology monitoring, and performance monitoring during return to practice. Recovery rates of up to 90% have been reported in some programs, though such figures should be interpreted in the context of structured monitoring and selected physician populations. A five-year cohort study reported that approximately three-quarters of US physicians managed in physician health programs had favorable outcomes at five years.

These findings challenge a purely pessimistic view. Physician substance use is serious, but it is treatable. Confidential pathways, long-term monitoring, and structured return-to-work arrangements appear to improve outcomes, supporting the view that health systems should invest not only in detection but also in specialised treatment and follow-up.

4. DISCUSSION

The evidence reviewed here points toward several practical implications. Prevention should begin early, during undergraduate and postgraduate medical training. Education should address substance use disorders such as health conditions, the risks of self-medication, and the reality that physicians are not immune to addiction. Organisational prevention also matters: reducing chronic overload, improving access to confidential mental health care, and addressing burnout may reduce risk over time.

Healthcare institutions need clear, trusted pathways for confidential reporting, assessment, and referral. Without such systems, both denial and stigma are likely to persist. Patient safety must remain central: the significance of physician substance use lies not only in its effect on the physician, but also in its possible effect on patients, teams, and healthcare systems. The most appropriate policy response is therefore not silence, shame, or punishment alone, but a coordinated strategy combining prevention, early identification, confidential treatment, monitoring, and professional reintegration where safe and appropriate.



CONCLUSION

Substance use among physicians is best understood as a complex occupational and mental health issue shaped by chronic stress, professional culture, access to substances, stigma, and delayed help-seeking. The literature consistently indicates that physicians may face profession-specific risks and barriers that distinguish them from the general population. At the same time, the available evidence shows that with early recognition, confidential treatment, and sustained monitoring, outcomes can be favourable.

Physician substance use should be addressed not as an individual failing alone, but as a problem requiring systemic, ethical, and clinical responses. Protecting physician wellbeing, safeguarding patients, and supporting the long-term sustainability of healthcare systems all depend on acknowledging the problem early and responding to it competently and compassionately.

DECLARATIONS

The author has declared no conflicts of interest. Clinical experience in addiction psychiatry was used to contextualise and interpret findings.

REFERENCES

1. Goldenberg M, Miotto K, Skipper GE, Sanford J. Outcomes of physicians with substance use disorders in state physician health programs: a narrative review. *J Psychoactive Drugs*. 2020;52(3):195-202.
2. Identifying an impaired physician. *Virtual Mentor*. 2003;5(12). doi:10.1001/virtualmentor.2003.5.12.cpr11-0312.
3. Medisauskaite A, Kamau C. Does occupational distress raise the risk of alcohol use, binge-eating, ill health and sleep problems among medical doctors? A UK cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(5):e027362.
4. Shadakshari D, Muliya KP, Jayarajan D, Kandasamy A. Occupational challenges in physicians with substance use disorder: a qualitative study. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2022;44(3):253-8.
5. Vayr F, Herin F, Jullian B, Soulat JM, Franchitto N. Barriers to seeking help for physicians with substance use disorder: a review. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2019;199:116-21.
6. Mavroforou A, Giannoukas A, Michalodimitrakis E. Alcohol and drug abuse among doctors. *Med Law*. 2006;25(4):611-25.
7. Wilson J, Tanuseputro P, Myran DT, et al. Characterization of problematic alcohol use among physicians: a systematic review. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(12):e2244679.
8. Oreskovich MR, Shanafelt T, Dyrbye LN, et al. The prevalence of substance use disorders in American physicians. *Am J Addict*. 2015;24(1):30-8.
9. Oreskovich MR. Prevalence of alcohol use disorders among American surgeons. *Arch Surg*. 2012;147(2):168.
10. McLellan AT, Skipper GS, Campbell M, DuPont RL. Five-year outcomes in a cohort study of physicians treated for substance use disorders in the United States. *BMJ*. 2008;337:a2038.